Active Learning Strategies Based on Text Informativeness

Ruide Li (Kyoto University)

Yoko Yamakata (The University of Tokyo)

Keishi Tajima (Kyoto University)

Problem Settings

Related Work

Proposed Methods

Experiments

Problem Settings

Related Work

Proposed Methods

Experiments

What Is Active Learning

- Problem in supervised machine learning:
 - Unlabeled data is abundant, while annotation cost is high
- What if a model can ask its "supervisor" for labels?
 - Actively choose data for labeling to learn



Ð

What If Specific Data Domain Is Given

- Given a fixed pool of text data, is there any approach which the learner can take advantage of?
 - Fixed pool: pool-based Active Learning
 - Text data: language model features

Problem Settings

Related Work

Proposed Methods

Experiments

Standard Active Learning

- Improve the model's accuracy with as few human annotation as possible
 - Desired output: trained model



Learn-to-Enumerate

- Extract a certain class of data from the unlabeled data pool with as few human annotation as possible
 - Desired output: all data of a specific class



Problem Settings

Related Work

Proposed Methods

Experiments

Standard Active Learning

- Uncertainty Sampling
 - label those items for which the current model is least certain as to what the ground truth should be
 - In SVM, it is tantamount to search for the support vectors ASAP



D. D. Lewis and W. A. Gale, "A sequential algorithm for training text classifiers," in Proc. of SIGIR, 1994, pp. 3–12

Learn-to-Enumerate

- ε-greedy exploitation and exploration
 - With probability ε , do exploration, i.e., the current model is least confident
 - With probability 1 ε, do exploitation, i.e., the current model is most confident
- Exploitation-only strategy gives the best result

P. Jörger, Y. Baba, and H. Kashima, "Learning to enumerate," in *Proc. of Intl. Conf. on Artificial Neural Networks, Part I*, 2016, pp. 453–460.

Problem Settings

Related Work

Proposed Methods

Experiments

Query Strategy Design of Text Data

- Manage the unlabeled data in an certain order to achieve our goal
- Deside the definition of informativeness (primitive methods)
 - Unique word count
 - Sum of TF-IDF
 - Sum of TF-IDF of unseen words
 - Norm of Doc2Vec
- Combine our primitive methods with a baseline method in each problem setting

Unique Word Count

- Count unique words in each document
 - Long articles with many different words are difficult to understand
 - If the document has many non-repetitive words, the document is informative

Sum of TF-IDF

- Term frequency-inverse document frequency
 - Term frequency: $tf(t,d) = \frac{f_{t,d}}{\sum_{t' \in d} f_{t',d}}$
 - Inverse document frequency: $idf(t, D) = log \frac{N}{|\{d \in D: t \in d\}|}$
 - TF-IDF: tfidf $(t, d, D) = tf(t, d) \cdot idf(t, D)$
- Sum up TF-IDF scores of all words in a document
- However, this calculation is too much affected by very unusual words (very large IDF)
 - Only use top-*k* TF-IDF scores

Sum of TF-IDF of Unseen Words

- If some words are already learnt, it is not necessary to learn these words repetitively
- Only calculate TF-IDF scores of unprecedented words

Norm of Embedding Vector (Word2Vec)

- When TF is less than a certain threshold, norm of word embedding increases as TF rises
 - The word vector is updated frequently during training
- When TF rises further, the norm will decrease
 - The word vector is updated so frequently that is stretched flat
 - Extremely frequent words fit many context
- Extend this attribute to document, using Doc2Vec



Combined with Uncertainty Sampling

- In uncertainty sampling, instead of calculate the most uncertain item, we make it yield top-k candidates
- Apply primitive approaches on these candidates



Combined with Exploitation-Only

- In exploitation-only ε-greedy strategy, instead of calculate the most confident item, we make it yield top-k candidates
- Apply primitive approaches on these candidates



Problem Settings

Related Work

Proposed Methods

Experiments

Experiment Detail

- When selecting top-k words having the highest TF-IDF values in our method, we selected 20 words
- In the combination methods, we first choose top 10 candidates
- Learner model, Support Vector Machine (SVM) with default hyperparameters in SciKit-Learn
 - Computational cost
 - Small dataset size
- Baseline
 - Standard Active Learning: uncertainty sample
 - Learn-to-Enumerate: exploitation-only ε-greedy strategy

Description of Dataset 1

- SMS Spam Collection Dataset
 - UCI Machine Learning Repository
- Spam: 50%, ham: 50%
- Learn-to-enumerate target: spam

Results on Dataset 1: Primitive Methods

• Primitive methods showed worse 1.0 result than baseline



Results on Dataset 1: Combined Methods

• Our methods consistantly outperformed baseline



Results on Dataset 1: Learn-to-Enumerate

• Our methods consistantly outperformed baseline



Description of Dataset 2

- Binary sentiment classification of movie reviews
 - Large Movie Review Dataset v1.0
- Positive: 20%, negative: 80%
- Learn-to-enumerate target: positive

Results on Dataset 2: Primitive Methods

• Primitive methods showed worse ^{1.0} result than baseline



Results on Dataset 2: Primitive Methods

• Our methods gave higher score on the opposite class



Results on Dataset 2: Combined Methods

 Doc2Vec combination method outperformed baseline by a narrow but consistent margin



Results on Dataset 2: Learn-to-Enumerate

 Our methods performed equally as baseline due to property of the dataset



Problem Settings

Related Work

Proposed Methods

Experiments

- We proposed methods that utilize features specific to text data
 - Unique word count
 - Sum of TF-IDF
 - Sum of TF-IDF of unseen words
 - Norm of Doc2Vec
- Combination methods
 - Combine with uncertainty sampling to solve standard active learning problem
 - Combine with exploitation-only ε-greedy strategy to solve learn-to-enumerate problem

Standard Active Learning

- Our primitive did not always outperform uncertainty sampling
- Our combination methods outperformed it with a small but consistent margin

Learn-to-Enumerate

- Our methods outperformed the exploitation-only strategy in the experiment with Dataset 1
 - Our methods have advantage due to data property
- Our methods yielded equal result as exploitation-only strategy in the experiment with Dataset 2
 - Our methods have disadvantage due to data property
- Our methods generally have superiority over exploitation-only strategy